35

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No. 3091 of 2007

PETITIONERS

1. Mohd. Nasir Age 43 years, S/o Late Shri Mohd. Idris, By occupation – Asstt. Teacher, Anjuman Hindi/Urdu Pri. School Jagdalpur, Distt.- Bastar (C.G.)
2. Mohd. Shoeb Ansari Age 41 year S/o Shri Mohd. Ismail Ansari, Occupation – Asstt. Teacher Anjuman Hindi/Urdu Pri. School Jagdalpur, Distt.- Bastar (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary, Department of School Education, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Director of School Education, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
3. District Education Officer, Jagdalpur, Distt.- Bastar (C.G.)
4. Secretary, Anjuman Islamia Committee Jagdalpur, Distt.-Bastar (C.G.)

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

Appearance:

Shri Azad Siddiqui, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Yashwant Singh, Govt. Advocate, for the State on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER

(29.08.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

Heard on admission.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have passed B.T.I. examination on their own expenses, therefore, they are entitled to receive two advance increments according to circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 01.03.1999. He also submits that in many identical matters, the petitions have been allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96)***. He submits that the case of the petitioners are squarely covered by the said Judgment.

This legal position is not disputed by the learned counsel for the State. However, he submits that the order may be passed in terms of the said judgment, but it shall be subjected to verification regarding petitioners' such qualification.

Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in case of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra)* subject to verification of the facts as to whether the petitioners had acquired B.T.I. Examination Certificate on their own expenses as per circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 01.03.1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not.

The petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

vatti